

**A COMPARISON OF SURFACE EMG TEMPORAL AND  
SPECTRAL PARAMETERS FROM THE VASTUS  
MEDIALIS OF SUBJECTS WITH AND WITHOUT KNEE  
JOINT OSTEOARTHRITIS DURING A SUSTAINED,  
FATIGUING SUBMAXIMAL ISOMETRIC  
CONTRACTION.**

By

**John Molloy**

BHSc (Physiotherapy); PGDip HSc (Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy)

This thesis is submitted to the Auckland University of Technology in partial  
fulfilment of the degree of Master of Health Science, March 2005.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	ii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	vii
LIST OF TABLES .....	viii
CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP .....	ix
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	x
ABSTRACT.....	xi
<b>1. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>1.1 INTRODUCTION .....</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>1.2 PURPOSE STATEMENT.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>1.3 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>1.4 LIMITATIONS.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>1.5 DELIMITATIONS .....</i>	<i>5</i>
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<i>2.1 INTRODUCTION .....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>2.2 MUSCLE FATIGUE .....</i>	<i>6</i>
2.2.1 Central fatigue.....	7
2.2.2 Peripheral fatigue .....	8
2.2.3 Local muscle factors influencing fatigue resistance .....	9
<i>2.3 FATIGUE RESISTANCE IN HEALTHY QUADRICEPS .....</i>	<i>10</i>
2.3.1 Sub-maximal isometric protocols .....	10
2.3.2 Maximal isometric protocols .....	12
2.3.3 Isokinetic protocols.....	13
2.3.4 Summary .....	15
<i>2.4 FATIGUE RESISTANCE OF AFFECTED QUADRICEPS .....</i>	<i>15</i>
2.4.1 Isometric contractions .....	16
2.4.2 Dynamic contractions .....	17

2.4.3 Condition dependency .....	18
2.4.4 Summary .....	18
2.5 <i>MUSCLE CHANGES WITH DISUSE/IMMOBILISATION</i> .....	19
2.5.1 Muscle atrophy.....	19
2.5.2 Changes in oxidative capacity .....	22
2.5.3 Contraction coupling mechanism .....	24
2.5.4 Central Control Changes.....	25
Voluntary Activation .....	25
Electrical Stimulation.....	27
Firing Rates.....	28
2.5.5 Summary.....	28
2.6 <i>MEASUREMENT OF FATIGUE USING SURFACE ELECTROMYOGRAPHY</i> .....	29
2.6.1 <i>THE INFLUENCE OF FATIGUE ON THE EMG SIGNAL</i> .....	32
2.6.1.1 Peripheral Factors .....	32
Conduction velocity .....	33
Membrane excitability .....	34
Fibre composition and surface electromyography .....	35
2.6.1.2 Central Mechanisms.....	37
Firing rate.....	38
Firing rates and fatigue .....	39
Recruitment.....	40
Recruitment and fatigue.....	40
Synchronisation.....	42
2.6.1.3 Summary .....	44
2.6.2 <i>GEOMETRIC AND ANATOMICAL FACTORS INFLUENCING THE sEMG SIGNAL</i> .....	44
2.6.2.1 Electrode location and orientation .....	44
2.6.2.2 Signal stability .....	45
2.6.2.3 Filtering.....	46
2.6.3 <i>SURFACE ELECTROMYOGRAPHY COLLECTION TECHNIQUES</i> .....	46
2.7 <i>SEMG AND PREDICTION OF ENDURANCE TIME.</i> .....	49
2.8 <i>RELIABILITY</i> .....	51

2.8.1 Summary .....	54
<b>3.0 METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>56</b>
3.1 <i>SELECTION OF SUBJECTS</i> .....	56
3.1.1 Subjects with healthy knees .....	56
3.1.2 Subjects with osteoarthritis .....	56
3.2 <i>PROCEDURE</i> .....	58
3.2.1 Experimental Set-Up .....	58
3.2.2 Warm-Up .....	59
3.2.3 Maximum Voluntary Contraction .....	59
3.2.4 Interpolated Twitch Protocol .....	59
3.2.5 Endurance Test .....	61
3.2.6 Electromyography .....	61
2.3 <i>STATISTICAL ANALYSES</i> .....	65
<b>4.0 RESULTS .....</b>	<b>67</b>
4.1 <i>INTRODUCTION</i> .....	67
4.1.1 Subjects .....	67
4.2 <i>MAXIMUM VOLUNTARY CONTRACTIONS</i> .....	68
4.3 <i>MUSCLE ACTIVATION DEFICITS</i> .....	68
4.4 <i>TRUE MAXIMUM FORCE</i> .....	70
4.5 <i>ENDURANCE TIMES</i> .....	71
4.6 <i>ELECTROMYOGRAPHY</i> .....	72
4.6.1 Initial Parameter Values .....	72
4.6.2 Percentage Changes in sEMG Parameters .....	73
4.6.3 sEMG Parameter Relative Rates of Change .....	78
$T_{Lim}$ .....	83
$T_{30}$ .....	84
<b>5.0 DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>85</b>
5.1 <i>INTRODUCTION</i> .....	85
5.2 <i>SUBJECTS</i> .....	85

5.3	<i>MAXIMUM VOLUNTARY CONTRACTION</i> .....	86
5.4	<i>VOLUNTARY ACTIVATION DEFICITS</i> .....	87
5.5	<i>TRUE MAXIMUM FORCE</i> .....	89
5.5.1	Bilateral deficits .....	89
5.6	<i>ENDURANCE TIMES (<math>T_{LIM}</math>)</i> .....	91
5.7	<i>SURFACE ELECTROMYOGRAPHY</i> .....	91
5.7.1	Initial values.....	92
5.7.2	Percentage changes .....	93
5.7.3	Relative Rates of change.....	94
5.8	<i>MECHANISMS</i> .....	95
5.8.1	Initial values.....	95
	Morphology and Physiology.....	95
	EMG methodology.....	98
	Neuromuscular changes .....	99
5.8.2	Magnitudes and Rates of Change .....	100
	Morphology and Physiology.....	100
5.8.3	Control Leg .....	103
5.9	<i>PREDICTION OF ENDURANCE TIME</i> .....	104
<b>6.0</b>	<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</b> .....	<b>108</b>
<b>7.0</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	<b>111</b>
<b>8.0</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>114</b>
<b>9.0</b>	<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	<b>137</b>
	<i>Appendix 1</i> .....	<i>137</i>
	Kellgren Lawrence Radiographic classification system (Kellgren and Lawrence, 1957). .....	137
	<i>Appendix 2</i> .....	<i>138</i>
	American College of Rheumatology: Criteria for Classification of Idiopathic Osteoarthritis of the Knee (Altman et al. 1986).....	138
	<i>Appendix 3</i> .....	<i>139</i>
	EMG Reliability Study Summary .....	139

<i>Appendix 4</i> .....	140
Calculation of True Maximum Force (TMF).....	140
<i>Appendix 6</i> .....	142
WOMAC Index.....	142
<i>Appendix 7</i> .....	147
Ethical Approval Notification.....	147

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. sEMG Power Spectrum. ....	31
Figure 2.2. Two-dimensional Laplacian Filter .....	49
Figure 2.3. Area Ratio Calculation. ....	55
Figure 3.1. Interpolated Twitch Physical Set-up .....	60
Figure 3.2. Laplacian Electrode .....	62
Figure 3.3. sEMG Physical Set-up.....	63
Figure 4.1. MVC Data .....	69
Figure 4.2. Activation Deficit Data.....	69
Figure 4.3. TMF Data. ....	70
Figure 4.4. Endurance Time Data. ....	71
Figure 4.5. Raw sEMG Signal. ....	72
Figure 4.6. Initial MDF Data. ....	73
Figure 4.7. Initial MPF Data. ....	74
Figure 4.8. Initial FB1 Data. ....	75
Figure 4.9. Initial RMS Data.....	76
Figure 4.10. Initial CV Data. ....	77
Figure 4.11. MDF Relative Slopes. ....	78
Figure 4.12. MPF Relative Slopes .....	79
Figure 4.13. FB1 Relative Slopes. ....	80
Figure 4.14. RMS Relative Slopes.....	81
Figure 4.15. CV Relative Slopes.....	82

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1. Osteoarthritis Subject Information.....	67
Table 4.2. Control Subject Information .....	68
Table 4.3. Slope vs. Endurance-time Correlations: $T_{Lim}$ . .....	83
Table 4.4. Area Ratio vs. Endurance-time Correlations: $T_{Lim}$ . .....	83
Table 4.5. Slope vs. Endurance-time Correlations: $T_{30}$ . .....	84
Table 4.6. Area Ratio vs. Endurance-time Correlations: $T_{30}$ . .....	84

## **CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP**

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, it contains no material previously published or written by another person nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma of a university or other institution of higher learning, except where due acknowledgement is made in the acknowledgements.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to extend my sincere thanks and gratitude to the following people:

Peter McNair. Your vast experience and expertise is coupled with an enthusiasm and a great ability to teach that makes you a huge asset to the University and the health professions. I have been the benefactor of these traits, and have certainly enjoyed my time working with you. Pete, thank you very much for your expertise, your patience, your tolerance, your enthusiasm and your generosity over the last two years.

Grant Mawston, whose technical expertise, ability to work through things he isn't an expert at, and his willingness to help me with the many technical challenges encountered during this thesis, have been a Godsend.

My wife Rochelle, who has remained incredibly enthusiastic, understanding, supportive and loving throughout this whole thesis experience.

My friends and family for their encouragement and tolerance, especially towards the end of this process when all I could talk and think about was "The Thesis".

Ryan Sharp, Filomena Davies and Erik Dombroski for their valuable feedback and proof reading.

To all of the subjects who participated in this study, including the many staff, students, friends and family members who willingly put their bodies on the line during pilot testing.

To Auckland University of Technology, and particularly the Maurice and Phyllis Paykel Trust for their generous scholarships that have assisted me immensely to study full-time.

This thesis gained ethical approval from the Auckland Ethics Committee, with approval number **AKX/02/00/358**.

## **ABSTRACT**

Knee joint osteoarthritis is recognised as a significant subset of osteoarthritis. Little work has examined muscle changes that occur with knee joint osteoarthritis. Much of this work has centred on strength deficits, while little work has examined the effect of joint pathologies, such as osteoarthritis, on the fatigue resistance of the muscles associated with an affected joint.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the relative fatigue-resistance characteristics of the vastus medialis in subjects with and without knee joint osteoarthritis, as well as the ability to predict endurance times in these groups, using high spatial resolution electromyography and a sub-maximal isometric endurance test. Twenty-six subjects with unilateral knee osteoarthritis, and seventeen subjects with no known knee pathology were evaluated. All subjects performed initial tests to evaluate maximum voluntary contraction (MVC), voluntary activation levels, and true maximum force (TMF). Endurance time was assessed during an isometric quadriceps contraction at 50% of the true maximum force. Surface electromyography (sEMG) data was collected from the vastus medialis muscle of the quadriceps group during the endurance test.

MVC tests showed that the affected leg of the group with osteoarthritis was significantly weaker ( $p < 0.05$ ) than the unaffected leg. Voluntary activation data showed that subjects with osteoarthritis presented with significant bilateral deficits ( $p < 0.05$ ). TMF data showed a significantly lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) true potential for force generation in the affected compared to the unaffected leg of the osteoarthritis group. Endurance time data showed no significant difference between groups. Electromyography data showed significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) between the affected and unaffected legs in initial values of Median Frequency (MDF), Mean Power Frequency (MPF) and Conduction Velocity (CV), the percentage change in CV and the relative rate of change in the frequency band between 5 and 30Hz (FB1). Finally, significant correlations were seen between endurance time and the relative rate of change of MDF, MPF and CV calculated over the initial thirty seconds of the endurance test. There were no significant correlations from either leg of the group with knee joint osteoarthritis.

It can be concluded from this study that there are differences in strength measures, and in the sEMG signal collected from the vastus medialis muscle of the affected and unaffected legs of subjects with knee osteoarthritis. It appears likely that the differences observed in the sEMG signals were related to a decrease in the representation of type-2 muscle fibres in the vastus medialis of the affected leg. Furthermore, these changes in the behaviour of the signal appear to indicate an improvement in the relative fatigue resistance of the affected leg in relation to the unaffected leg of the group with knee osteoarthritis.

Moderate success was seen with the prediction of endurance time in control subjects in the current work using a short duration (30-second) endurance test. This relationship was not seen in either the affected or unaffected leg of the subjects with knee osteoarthritis. Further investigation utilising different sEMG collection and analysis techniques in this area may improve prediction of endurance time in unaffected and affected subjects.